

Using Network Processor to Establish Trustworthy Agent Scheme for AODV Routing Protocol

CHEN HONGSONG^{1,2}, WANG ZHAOSHUN¹, ZENG GUANGPING¹ and LIU HONGWEI¹

¹*Department of Computer Science and Technology, University of Science and Technology, Beijing 100083, China*

²*Department of Computer Science and Technology, Harbin Institute of Technology, No. 30, Xueyuan Street, Haidian District of Beijing 100083, China*
E-mail: chs68@pact518.hit.edu.cn

Abstract. Network Processor (NP) is optimized to perform network tasks. It uses massive parallel processing architecture to achieve high performance. Ad hoc network is an exciting research aspect due to the characters of self-organization, dynamically topology and temporary network life. However, all the characters make the security problem more serious. Denial-of-Service (DoS) attack is the main puzzle in the security of Ad hoc network. A novel NP-based trustworthy agent scheme is proposed to combat the attack. Trustworthy agent is established by a hardware thread in NP. Agent can update itself at some interval by the trustworthiness of the neighbor nodes. Agent can trace the RREQ and RREP messages stream to aggregate the key information and analyze them by intrusion detection algorithm. NS2 simulator is expanded to validate the security and trustworthy scheme. Simulation results show that NP-based trustworthy agent scheme is effective to detect DoS attacks.

Keywords: Network Processor, AODV routing protocol, trustworthy Agent, DoS attack, intrusion detection, performance evaluation

1. Introduction

With the ever-increasing performance and flexibility requirements seen in today's networks, programmable network processors has been developed to meet the need. Network processor (NP) is a programmable device with architectural parallel features and special optimization for packet processing [1]. Most network processors use hardware multithreading computing model. Separate register files and contexts for separate threads ensure fast context switching. The multithreading parallel architectures fit to multi-task execution environment in network. Thus each thread is related to a task. New general programmable routers are designed by network processor, especially for security application.

Ad hoc networks are dynamic collections of self-organizing mobile nodes with links that are changing in an unpredictable way. They are characterized by a dynamic topology. Nodes can perform the roles of both hosts and routers with intrinsic mutual trust. In Ad hoc network, every node act as router to form the network, security is very important to ad hoc networks. In wireless Ad hoc network, wireless nodes require process flexibility with low power consumption and high security, network processor is fit the need. So we use network processor to build the node of Ad hoc, security scheme is designed by the network processor.

The paper has been organized as follows: Section 2 compares with the related work. Section 3 describes AODV routing protocol. Section 4 describes DoS attack to AODV protocol. In Section 5, NP-based trustworthy agent scheme is proposed. In Section 5, simulation results are analyzed and discussed. Section 6 is the conclusion and prospect.

2. Related Research Work

There are two main approaches in current ad hoc securing environments. The first is intrusion prevention measures, such as authentication and encryption. The second is intrusion detection and response approach [2].

Because cryptography-based prevention technique consumes much energy, it is invalid to internal attacks [3] Intrusion detection and response is a necessity in MANET. We introduce them by the system architecture.

(1) Zhang and Lee build on the completely distributed structure of wireless ad hoc networks. Every node in the network participates in the process of intrusion detection [4]. Each node is responsible for detecting intrusion locally and independently based on the data collected by itself. They use data on the node's physical movements and the corresponding change in its routing table as the trace data to build the anomaly detection model.

Because all the nodes run local detection engine that analyzes local data for anomalies, it is too expensive to detect some special attacks.

(2) Hierarchical IDS architectures have been proposed for multi-layered [5], wireless ad-hoc networks. In a multilayered wireless ad-hoc network, cluster-head nodes centralized routing for the cluster and may support additional security mechanisms. The whole network is logically divided into several clusters, each of them consists one special node as the cluster head and several normal nodes as the cluster members.

Because the cluster heads are the main communication and intrusion detection center, if the cluster heads have been attacked by malicious attackers, the network will be destroyed.

(3) Oleg Kachirski proposes Multiple Sensors intrusion detection system for ad hoc wireless networks based on mobile agent technology [6]. They introduce a multi-sensor intrusion detection system employing cooperative detection algorithm. A mobile agent implementation is chosen, to support such features of the IDS system as mobility of sensors, intelligent routing of intrusion data throughout the network and lightweight implementation.

Because of scarce computational and power resources in mobile nodes, multiple sensors and agent communication impose heavy pressure on Ad hoc network.

3. The Ad hoc On-Demand Distance Vector (AODV) Protocol

The Ad Hoc On-Demand Distance Vector (AODV) algorithm enables dynamic, self-starting, multi-hop routing between participating mobile nodes wishing to establish and maintain an ad hoc network [7]. AODV allows mobile nodes to obtain routes quickly for new destinations and does not require nodes to maintain routes to destinations that are not in active communication. AODV is a reactive and stateless protocol that establishes routes only as desired by a source node using route request (RREQ) and route reply (RREP) messages. When a source node wants to send data packets to a destination node but cannot find a route in its routing table, it broadcasts RREQ messages to its neighbors. Its neighbors then rebroadcast the RREQ

message to their neighbors if they do not have a fresh enough route to the destination node. This process continues until the RREQ message reaches the destination node or an intermediate node that has a fresh enough route. After accepting a RREQ message, the destination or intermediate node updates its reverse route to the source node using the neighbor from which it receives the RREQ message. When the source or an intermediate node receives a RREP message, it updates its forward route to the destination node using the neighbor from which it receives the RREP message. The source node or an intermediate node updates its routing table if it receives a RREP message.

4. Denial-of-Service Attack to AODV Protocol

A “denial-of-service” attack is characterized by an explicit attempt by attackers to prevent legitimate users of a service from using that service. It can consume scarce resources or destroy network connection. These attacks do not necessarily damage data directly or permanently, but they intentionally compromise the availability of the resources [8]. They can consume much useful resource to disrupt network usability. DoS attacks in AODV protocol routing level can be classified into two categories by the type of message – RREQ flood attack and RREP route loop attack.

4.1. RREQ FLOOD ATTACK

The flood attack introduces unnecessary broadcast messages into the network to hinder the normal operation of the network, the malicious node continually sends a mass of route requests to force the neighbors to process these packets and therefore consume batteries and network bandwidth. RREQ flood attack is shown as Figure 1.

As shown in Figure 1, malicious node sends a mass of faked RREQ broadcast to flood the network. Other nodes process and response the flood RREQ, the flood makes great impact on the storage and communication resource of the nodes. It almost makes the network communication breakdown.

4.2. RREP ROUTE LOOP ATTACK

A routing loop is a path that goes through the same node more than once. Routing loops cause packets to be sent by the same nodes over and over again until the TTL-field in the packet is exhausted to zero. Routing loops can be used to create DoS, because it consumes node resources in the loop. The destination node can also be isolated from the network, because




Figure 1. Flooding attack caused by RREQ flood. (a) Flood attack by malicious node. (b) After RREQ flood attack.




Figure 2. The attacker forms a loop between node 1 and node 2 by a faked RREP message. (a) Before loop attack. (b) After loop attack. (Node S: originating node; nodes 1 and 2: intermediate nodes; node D: destination node; node A: attack node).

only few packets reach their destination. Figure 2 shows that a route loop formed between node 1 and node 2.

As Figure 2 shows, there are two intermediate nodes in a route from node S to node D. The attacker can form a route loop between node 1 and node 2 by pretending to be node 1 to forward a RREP message with increased RREP sequence. When node 2 receives the faked RREP message, it updates the next hop from node D to node 1. After updating the destination sequence number in the route table, these packets will be first sent to node 1, then node 2 and back to node 1 again. As a result, a route loop is formed between node 1 and node 2.

5. NP-based Trustworthy Scheme in Ad hoc Network

An attention has been paid to the security processor design for wireless Ad hoc devices recently. However, there is lack of research for combining security application and network processor in wireless communication. In this paper, we present the design of security agent by network processor to meet the security need of wireless Ad hoc network. Ad hoc networks are characterized by dynamic topology and the lack of fixed infrastructure. Ad hoc wireless networks allow people to set up computer networks and access information at any place and time. Nodes can perform the roles of both hosts and routers with intrinsic mutual trust. The nature of mobile computing environment makes it vulnerable to malicious attacks.

Traditionally, security processing has been achieved using software. However, due to the increasing demand for securing information, software solution may be not the best choice. The reason is that security algorithms are very time consuming and require powerful computational ability. Multithreading and programmable NP is used to establish mobile node in out security and trustworthy scheme. It can execute multi-task, such as routing tables management, packet classification and forwarding, security computation. Most of security processors existing in today's market are designed to function as security co-processors. Security co-processor is attached to network processor and invoked whenever the host processor decides that security processing is needed [9]. The approach moves the burden of security processing from the NP to the co-processor. The main limitation of this method is the need for a packet to traverse the memory many times. The communication between network processor and security co-processors brings long delay. This makes the network security performance depressed.

Therefore, finding new solutions that enhance security processing in Ad hoc network is essential. In fact, the security co-processor executes some types of algorithm for encryption and decryption. While in Ad hoc network, intrusion detection method is better than traditional encryption, especially for some types of DoS attacks, such as flooding attack. Because

most network processors use hardware multithread architecture to fit multi-tasks in network environment, we use one of the threads as trustworthy agent to do security computing, while other threads do other network processing such as packet forwarding. So the advantage of the hardware multithread can be fully utilized while do not use security co-processor. This solution can save power consumption to meet the need of wireless network.

5.1. NP-BASED INTRUSION DETECTION AND TRUSTWORTHY SCHEME

Lee’s distributed IDS for Ad hoc network is a basic system model. The cost of intrusion detection increases with the number of nodes. Hierarchical IDS architecture is a model partitioned by node space location. Multiple Sensors intrusion detection system is a model partitioned by the functions of intrusion detection sensor. AODV routing protocol is an on-demand routing protocol, which initiates route discovery process when needed. As we use one thread of NP as trustworthy agent, thread owns dynamical lifetime, agent-based dynamic lifetime trustworthy scheme is proposed to improve the efficiency of intrusion detection. It is an intrusion detection model **firstly partitioned** by route existent lifetime. The number of IDS is equal to the number of AODV RREQ–RREP stream. The cost of intrusion detection decreases greatly. The NP-based Ad hoc network architecture is shown in Figure 3.

As seen in Figure 3, multithreading NP is used to be node of Ad hoc network. One thread of NP is used as trustworthy agent. In artificial Intelligence context, *agent* is an entity that perceives its environment with sensors, it acts on its environment with effectors [10]. Such an agent can be a hardware multithread with sensors and effectors. The *agent* is certain kinds of artificial intelligence programs with user’s goal.

Thread has three states which are ready, waiting and running states. Thread transforms its state by the NP resource utilization and computing environment. The thread state transition diagram is shown in Figure 4.

The following programming section shows the class definition of NP-based node:




Figure 3. NP-based distributed Wireless Ad hoc network.




Figure 4. Thread state transition diagram.

```

Static class NP-node: public wireless-node{
public:    ---;
int thread-num; int thread-state; int lifetime;
int thread-create();int thread-run();int thread-wait();int
thread-destroy();};
    
```

The derivative relation of node class is shown in Figure 5.

Thread is created as trustworthy agent by the need of AODV routing. Agent can change its state to reflect the state of the AODV routing process. Trustworthy Agent is given dynamic life to avoid itself to be attacked. Trustworthy Agent not only executes code, collects data, but also has multi-timed states. Security agent can create, execute, update and expire by the state of RREQ–RREP stream. When there is RREQ–RREP stream in network, there is a related security agent to monitor the stream by intrusion detection algorithm. After the RREQ–RREP stream disappears, the related security agent expires. Agent can update itself to improve trustworthiness and security. The timed finite state machine of security Agent is shown in Figure 6.

Seen from Figure 6, security protocol detects RREQ–RREP routing message periodically. If any RREQ–RREP stream is detected, trustworthy agent related to the stream is created to execute the intrusion detection algorithm. In fact, it is the hardware thread to execute the security code. After some interval, if the stream already exists in network, the current agent




Figure 5. Derivative relation of node class.




Figure 6. The timed finite state machine of trustworthy Agent.

Table 1. The comparison of the current security scheme in MANET

Security scheme	Completely distributed IDS security scheme	Agent based trust- worthy scheme	SAODV Digital Signatures scheme
<i>Performance parameters</i>			
Packet format extension	No need	No need	Need
key management	No need	No need	Need
Memory requirement	Medium	Medium	Medium
Computation complexity	Low	Low	High
Node Number to execute security scheme	All nodes	Part nodes	Part nodes
Security scheme lifetime	At all times	On-demand	At all times
Power dissipation	Medium	Low	High
Ability to detect DoS attack	Yes	Yes	No

migrates to high trustworthiness neighbor node. Then another thread in the neighbor node will go on executing security code. So the intrusion detection algorithm is executed by many high trustworthiness neighbors by turns to distribute the cost of security computing and avoid the agent to be attacked. If there is no RREQ–RREP stream in network for some interval, the related agent expires by the stream. That is to say, the agent has dynamic lifetime to execute the trustworthy scheme. The comparison of current security scheme in MANET is listed in the Table 1.

We make the following assumptions in the trustworthy scheme.

- (1) Agent is a hardware thread that executes the trustworthy scheme. It can migrate between the high trustworthiness nodes.
- (2) Agent has ability to access routing tables of nodes related to the stream. It should also have the capability of controlling node and intercepting RREQ and RREP related to the stream.

5.2. RREQ FLOOD ATTACK DETECTION AND RESPONSE

In AODV routing process, when a source node needs a route to destination, it initiates a route discovery process. In route discovery, a route request can be uniquely identified by the RREQ ID, source sequence, source and destination route request address. In a route reply, reply message go back to source node over the shortest path. The routing information is stored in a trace link list shown in Table 2. It includes table head and table items. Every table head stands for a route discovery entrance. In Table 2, *rreq_src* and *rreq_dst* stand for source and destination route request address. *Rreq_bid* stands for the RREQ ID. *Source_seq* and *dest_seq* stands for the source and destination sequence. *Ipsrc* and *ipdst* stand for the source and destination IP request address of a route message.

RREQCount stands for the number of RREQ broadcast in a period of time. It can be used to detect flood attack. Every table item stands for the message in the RREQ–RREP stream. Because the source and destination IP address in RREP message is reverse to the corresponding RREQ message, so Tag flag is used to distinguish them. If it is RREQ message, the Tag flag is 0; else it is RREP message, the Tag flag is 1. The construction of the trace link list is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. The construction of the trace link list

rreq_src1	rreq_dst1	rreq_bid1	RREQCount1	source_seq1	dest_seq1	→	ipsrc1	ipdst1	Tag	...
rreq_src2	rreq_dst2	rreq_bid2	RREQCount2	source_seq2	dest_seq2					
---	---	---	---	---	---					
rreq_srcN	rreq_dstN	rreq_bidN	RREQCountN	source_seqN	dest_seqN					

The agent monitors the RREQ–RREP messages at real-time to fill in the trace link list. In NS2 simulator, the trustworthy agent monitors the RREQ and RREP message by calling `rece()` function. Once the RREQ messages are received, they will be processed by trustworthy agent. Agent exists with the stream, after the RREQ–RREP stream disappears for some interval, the Agent expires, but the link list already exists in the node. RREQ flood attack will be processed by the following algorithm shown in Table 3.

When agent hears a RREQ message, it firstly compares if the Ip address in IP header of the RREQ message is equal to AODV source route address. If they are equal, it is a new RREQ–RREP stream, the key information of the RREQ message is saved in the head of the link list to record the stream. If they are not equal, agent looks up the head of link list to validate if the related link list was built to the stream. If the related head of the link list is found, agent goes on to check the previous node to send the RREQ message. If the previous node is found, agent validates the data and direct consistency between the current RREQ message and the RREQ message received by previous node. The source sequence number of the current RREQ message should be equal to that of the RREQ message received by previous node. The hop count should be increased by 1. When all the above validation pass, agent adds the key information of the RREQ message into the item of related link list, the RREQ is forwarded correctly. Otherwise Faked RREQ attack is detected, the message is dropped; the node to send the RREQ is isolated and recorded into blacklist.

5.3. RREP LOOP ATTACK DETECTION AND RESPONSE

The difference between the intrusion detection for RREQ and RREP is that the former builds link list, the latter checks and updates the link list.

As Table 4 shows, the agent monitors the RREP at real-time to update the trace table, it detects the route loop attack by RREP route loop detection algorithm and drops the malicious RREP message.

When a RREP message is heard by Agent, it checks the head of link list to validate if the related link list was built to the RREQ–RREP stream. If it finds the head related to the stream, Agent goes on to find the corresponding RREQ item and the previous node. If the value of tag is more than 1, at the same time the source-destination IP address in RREP is equal to destination-source IP address in the previous RREP, the RREP loop attack is detected. When attacks are detected by agent, the RREP is dropped, the malicious node is recorded into blacklist.

6. Performance Evaluation and Simulation Results

The measurements of the network performance are made by the NS2 [11]. In order to validate NP-based trustworthy scheme, we extend the node type to multithreading architecture,

Table 3. RREQ flood intrusion detection and response algorithm

```

1. Agent is created by a thread of NP.
   The value of RREQ ID trace table head and the count of RREQ is set to zero. //Initiate the trace table
2. RREQ messages are received by agent and some useful information are extracted to be analyzed.
3. if(source route address of RREQ==source IP address of the message) // Judge if it is a new RREQ request
   then {
       Source and destination address of RREQ, broadcast ID and source sequence number are stored in a row of
       the RREQ ID trace table head.
       RREQCount←RREQCount+1. //Increase the count of RREQ in an initial RREQ-RREP stream
       if (RREQCount> threshold in an interval) then
       {
           RREQ flood is detected. //Judge the flood attack
           The node that send the faked RREQ will be isolated from the network .
           The node ID will be added to a blacklist . //Intrusion response
       }
       Else forward the RREQ normally //Normal route process
   }
Else { agent adds the key information of the RREQ message into the item of related link list.
      forward the RREQ normally.
}

```

Table 4. RREP route loop detection and response algorithm

```

1. RREP messages are received by agent and some useful information are extracted to be analyzed.
2. RREP messages are grouped by their RREP source and destination address.
3. if (one source IP address of RREP message == destination IP address of previous RREP message
&& its destination IP address of RREP == the source IP address of previous RREP message)
then
    {RREP route loop is detected. //Route loop detection
    The malicious RREP message will be dropped.
    The node ID relating to the malicious RREP message will be added to the blacklist.
    //Intrusion response
    }
else { Update the Tag value in the related item of trace link list.
forwarding the RREP normally. }

```

Table 5. Simulation parameters

Communication type	CBR
Number of nodes	20
Node architecture	Multithreading
Simulation area	1000m * 600m
Simulation time	300s
Pause time	2s
Packet rate	4 packets/s
Number of connections	5
Transmission range	250m
Physical link bandwidth	2Mbps
Number of malicious nodes	1

it is realized by node configure, we add multithreading description to the node architecture. Table 5 shows the parameters used in our experiments. Continuous bit rate (CBR) is used in our experiments. There are 20 nodes in the Ad hoc network. The simulation runs for 300s. The field configuration is 1000m * 600m. The physical link bandwidth is 2Mbps. The node architecture is multithreading.

DoS attacks in AODV protocol routing level can be classified into RREQ flood attack and RREP route loop attack. Performance evaluation to the two types of DoS attacks are shown in Figure 7.

The Figure 7 shows that the two types of DoS attacks have great effect on the performance, while NP-based trustworthy scheme can effectively detect the attacks and block the attackers. Seen from Figure 6(a), packet delivery ratio in normal is 100%; under RREQ flood attack, the metric decreases to 47%; while under trustworthy scheme, the malicious node is detected and isolated, the metric recovers to 98%, it is near to the normal level. Seen from Figure 6(b), the ratio $RREQCount/RREPCount$ in normal is $2848/86 = 33$, the ratio value under attack increases to $250059/332 = 751$, while the ratio value under NP-based trustworthy scheme decreases to $6208/159 = 39$. Agent-NP trustworthy scheme can detect the RREQ flood attack in time, yet there is a little influence at the beginning of attack.

In route loop attack, the packet delivery ratio greatly decreases from 100% to 1.1%, while the ratio value increases to 100% under NP-based trustworthy scheme as shown in Figure 6(c). ForwardCount – the number of forwarded packet in normal is 1117, it greatly increases to 10491 in attack, while it decreases to 1143 in NP-based trustworthy scheme. As shown in Figure 6(d). When the malicious RREP message is dropped, the route loop disappears, so the performance resumes near normal level. Simulation results show that the trustworthy scheme is most effective to the two types of DoS attacks.

7. Conclusion

In this paper, DoS attacks for AODV routing protocol are classified and analyzed. A novel intrusion detection scheme based on NP is proposed to combat the attacks. It is an intrusion detection and response model **firstly partitioned** by the route existent lifetime. A hardware




Figure 7. Performance evaluation of NP-based trustworthy scheme. (a) RREQ flood attack and defense. (b) RREQ flood attack and defense. (c) RREP route loop attack and defense. (d) RREP route loop attack and defense.

thread in NP acts as trustworthy agent to execute intrusion detection and response. The trustworthy Agent can change its state with the AODV routing process to save energy. Agent can update itself to a higher trustworthiness neighbor to keep the high trustworthiness of network. The number of Agent is equal to that of RREQ–RREP stream. Agent can build and update link list to trace the RREQ–RREP message stream.

Simulation results show that the attacks have great effect on the system performance. NP-based dynamic lifetime trustworthy scheme can efficiently detect the attacks and isolate the malicious node to make the network security performance metric recover to normal quickly. In the future, Intel IXP 425 Network Processor will be used as process core to establish Security Association in MANET. The research about the attack and security scheme for AODV protocol is meaningful to Ad hoc network security and application in future.

Acknowledgements

The research has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 60475012). The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for their detailed reviews and many constructive suggestions which have improved the paper significantly.

References

1. B. Liljeqvist, "Visions and Facts – A Survey of Network Processors", Master's Thesis, (2003)
2. L. Zhou and Z.J. Haas, "Securing Ad Hoc Networks", *J. IEEE Networks*, Vol. 13, No. 6, pp. 24–30, 1999.
3. J.P. HuBaux, L. Buttyan, and S. Capkun, "The Quest for Security in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks", in *Proc. ACM MOBICOM*, 2001.
4. Y. Zhang and W. Lee, "Intrusion Detection in Wireless Ad Hoc Networks", in *Proceedings of the Sixth Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom 2000)*, August, pp. 275–283, 2000.
5. H. Deng, Q.-A. Zeng and D.P. Agrawal, "SVM-based Intrusion Detection System for Wireless Ad Hoc Networks", in *Proceedings of the IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC'03)*, Orlando, October 6–9, 2003.
6. O. Kachirski and R. Guha, "Effective Intrusion Detection Using Multiple Sensors in Wireless Ad Hoc Networks", in *Proceedings of the 36th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS'03)*, Vol. 02, pp. 57–65, Feb. 2003.
7. C. Perkins, E. Royer, S. Das and I. Chakeres, "Ad Hoc On-demand Distance Vector (AODV) Routing", Internet Draft, draft-ietf-manet-aodv-13.txt, Feb. 2003.
8. C. Karlof and D. Wagner, "Secure Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks: Attacks and countermeasures", in *First IEEE International Workshop on Sensor Network Protocols and Applications*, pp. 1–15, 2003.
9. E. Khan, "Network Processors for Communication Security: A Review", *IEEE Pacific RIM Conference on Communications, Computers, and Signal Processing*, pp. 173–176, 2003.
10. W.S.K. Johnny and M.R. Armin, "Intelligent Mobile Agents in Large Distributed Systems", *J. Systems Software*, Vol. 47, pp. 75–87, 1999.
11. <http://www.isi.edu/nsnam/ns>

Biographical Notes



Chen Hongsong was born in 1977. Now he works in University of Science and Technology Beijing. He received Ph.D. degree in computer science from Harbin Institute of Technology in April 2006. His research interests include ad hoc network security routing protocol.



Wang Zhaoshun is an associate professor of University of Science and Technology Beijing. His current research field includes parallel process, network security.



Zeng Guangping is a professor of University of Science and Technology Beijing. His current research fields includes artificial intelligence.

Liu Hongwei is a professor of University of Science and Technology Beijing. His current research fields includes information security.